SUPREME COURT DECISION No. 1686

Is the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada. Appealed from 1st. Judicial District

Court, Lyon County. C. F. Fox, Plaintiff & Respondent.

Mrs. Harriet Benard as executrix of the last will and testament of William M. Bernard, deceased, Mrs. Harriet Orth and J. C. Orth. Defendants and Appellants.

G. E. Mack and Geo. D. Pyne, Attys. for Respondent Jean Lothrops and A. Chartz, for Appellants.

Decision

On February 18, 1893, the plaintiff loaned \$400 to William Bernard, now deceased, and to secure the payment mereof he deeded to plaintiff on that Maint, and at the same time plaintiff ment, was not too late. executed to him a bond for a deed whereby he agreed to re-convey the begun within the time required by preperty on or before February 18, the provisions of the Probate Act 1898, provided that he was paid on or after the rejection of the claim by before that date \$400, and also \$35 the executrix. Whether this is so is annually. On February 8, 1896 plaint- immarterial for although she as exeof loaned Bernard the additional sum curriry is named as a party defendant, of \$600 and accepted as security for the allegations of the complaint and \$1000, and interest a deed made the decree may be considered as writing acknowledged and recorded, sale or otherwise against the estate loct all claims due the firm. Bernard then relieved him from all is demanded or given by the decree, obligations resulting from the bond which is directed only against the made February 18, 1893, and there premises and plaintiff's rights to this *pon plaintiff executed to Bernard a extent would not be curtailed nor new bond, dated February 8, 1896. conditioned that plaintiff would make and deliver a good and sufficient conveyance of the property to Bernard, provided Plaint of was paid \$1000 on or before January 1, 1900 and also \$50 annually, and further provisioned that if Bernard paid these amounts and the taxes he would be entitled to the use and possession of the premises A receipt and the statement or admission of Bernard a short time before his death indicate that the only payments were on interest to the. 8th, day of February 1897. He died the following year and letters testamentary were issued to his widow sactions was presented against the estate and by her as executrix was

of securing a debt will be construed begins to run when the debt is due as a mortgage is not assailed, but for and an action can be instituted upon appellant it is contended that as suit it." Under the argument for appellwas not brought until April, 1904, and the four years from the final loan more than six years after the last on February 8, 1896 to the time for loan and the giving of the last bond payment of the \$1000 under the bond on February 8, 1896, and more than on January 1, 1900, would be defour years after the time, January 1, ducted from the six years allowed 1900 fixed for a conveyance there- for bringing suit, and on that theory under conditioned on payment, the if the mtaurity of the loan had been action is barred by the statute of more than six years, instead of four limitations. It is said that plaintin's cause of action would have by executing a written release of the been barred before it accrued.. first bond and accepting a new one The judgment of the District Court said: instead, at the time he borrowed the last amount, \$600, Bernard did not sign any writing agreeing to pay or acknowledging a debt, and that therefore the obligation to pay on his part was merely verbal and would be barred in four years. We. do not so view that transaction. Most instrument in daily use, such as deeds mortgages, notes, orders, drafts and checks are signed by only one of the parties, but are not for that reason verbal nor half verbal. Although Bernard executed no note or writing agreeing to pay any money, he signed the property to plaintiff, and by this suit and the decree no more is sought than he under his signature obligated nimself to yield. In equity the extension of the time for a reconveyance by plaint'ff, given by the surrender of the first bond and the execution of a new one ought to be considered as effective as if plaintiff had conveyed the property to Bernard and taken new deed from him, at this office.

she appeals.

which would have left the title in plaintiff as it now stands. It was not necessary to have these extra deeds and if they had been executed they would not have varied the time for bringing suit and the initiation of the running of the statute which was controlled by the last bond and the date therein fixed and extended for payment and reconveyance.

Plaintiff is fortsfied with a writing for all that is awarded him by the judgment and for more if the property is worth more

The loan and giving of the security which vary the unconditional terms of the deed, and which are shown verbally, are facts favorable to appellant which it would have been incumbent upon her to prove if plaintiff had sued in ejectment for the property and introduced the deed. The bringing of the action four years and four months after January 1, 1900, the time fixed in the last bond for day the lands described in the com- a reconveyance conditioned on pay-

It is also urged that suit was not to plaintiff at the time the \$400 was running against the property only. borrowed, and by release made in No judgment for any deficiency after claims against said firm and will calaffected by failure to present a claim scribed for commencing actions on rejected claims against estates of deceased persons, as is necessary when it is desired to reach the assets of

In Cookes V. Culberston, 9 Nev. 207, as here, a deed was given as security for a loan which was not evidenced in writing. It was said in the opinion "The remedy upon the debt is barred by the statute, but the debt was not thereby extinguished; and as the statute of limitations of this State applies to suits in equity as well as Mrs. Harriet Bernard who has since actions at law, the creditors could married C. J. Orth. Plaintiff's de- have enforced payment by foreclosure mand arising out of the above tran- of the mortgage within four years after the cause or action accrued He had two remedies, one upon the rejected on August 29, 1898. There debt, the other upon the mortgage; previously recognized the demand by lose the other." Since the rendition endeavoring to borrow money for its of the decision the time for commencpayment. On July 24, 1901 the prop- ing actions on written instruments erty was set over to her by decree has been extended from four to six of distribution. From a judgment de- years and under well recognized creeing the deed to plaintiff to be principles plaintiff was allowed that a mortgage and ordering a fore- length of time after the date fixed closeure and sale of the premises to for payment of the \$1000 and for the satisfy the amount, \$1731.25 and termination of the bond or a re-con-\$76.40 costs, found due to plaintiff, veyance, which was January 1, 1900. As said in Borden V. Clow, 21 Nev. The well settled doctrine that a 278, "It is a rule in regard to the deed executed merely for the purpose statute of limitations that the statute

TALBOT, J.

We concur. Fitzgerald, C. J. Norcross, J.

Carson Cemetary Water Wards

has been turned on at the Cemetary and that no person in arrears will be allowed the use of water until the amounts now due are paid.

Patrons are further notified that it is the intention of the Trustees to a deed absolute in terms conveying instead of five months as heretofore, to do this prompt payment by water users will be neccessary.

> April 24, 1906 GEO. W. KEITH Secretary and Collector.

> > -Lost

A pair of eye glasses with gold chain attached, in case. The finder will be rewarded by leaving the same

The Continental Will Pay Bill

New York, April 27, 1906. Hon Samuel P. Davis,

Our Vice-President, Mr. George E. Kline, is in San Francisco, where organizing an adjusting bureau.

he is looking after our interests and Based on information received, we

have to advise you as follows: The gross amount we have at risk in the destroyed (earthquke and fire) district is\$2,669,000

From which deuct for liability reinsured 743,000 Leaving net l'ability\$1,926,000

While this is a large sum, you will could be paid by the Continental without regarding the Net Surplus of over January, 1906 Statement.

If further information is desired, please advise, and oblige.

> Yours very truly, Henry Evans, President.

----0-0-----Dissolution of Partnership

The copartnership heretofore exiting under the style and name of Paersen and Springmeyer, in the City of Carson, County of Ormsby, nas been dissole dby mutual consect, Mr. Petersen haing purchased the entire interest of C. H. Springmeyer, Mr. Petersen will pay all outstanding

Notice

A rumor having gone about that I had advanced the price of drugs since to the executr's, nor by her rejection the recent earthquake and fire in San of the claim filed, nor by his om- Francisco, I wish to state here that mission to sue within the time pre- the report is without foundation and absolutely false in every particular.

F. J. Steinmetz. -0-0--

People You Like to Meet. Are found on the through trains of the Sante Fe Route. First-class travel is attracted to first class roads. The Sante Fe Route is a first-class road.

mileage, 7,734 miles. It extends from Lake Michigan to

It is one of the three largest rail-

the Pacific Ocean and Gulf of Mexico, reaching with its own rails Chicago, he can stand aside." This language this proceeding. Kansas City. Denver, Fort Worth | was deemed offensive and the court an attempt to shield its receiver and Galveston, El Paso, Los Angeles and from examining the next witness. San Francisco.

It runs the finest continental train, the California Limit

Its meal service, managed by Mr. Its track is rock ballasted and laid throughout with heavy steel rails.

records are frequently shattered, the latest being that of the "Scotty Special" Los Angeles to Chicago, 2,265 miles in less than 45 hours.

Every comfort and luxury desired by modern travelers.

May we sell you a ticket over the Santa Fe:

G. F. WARREN, A. T. & S. F. RY. Salt Lake City, Utah. Or-F. W. PRINCE, San Francisco.

-0-0ing been bribed, resisting removal from the court room by the marshai acting under an order from the bench and using abusive language, one of the defendants was sent to jail for thirty days and the other for six months. Judge .erry, who had not made any accusation against the court sought release and to be purged of the contempt by a sworn petition in which he alleged that in the transaction he did not have the slight-est idea of showing any disrespect to the court. It was held that this could not avail or relieve him and it was

"The law imputes an intent to accomplish the natural result of one's acts, and, when those acts are of a criminal nature, it will not accent, against such implication the denial of the transgressor. No one would be safe if a denial or a wrongful or criminal intent would suffice to realese the violator from the punishment due in the decisions of its tribunas, every his offenses."

In an application for a writ of ha-Notice is hereby given that water beas corpus growing out of that case. Justice Harlan, speaking for the Supreme court of the United States said "We have seen that it is a settled doctrine in the jurisprudence both of England and of this country, never suposed to be in conflict with the liberty of the citizens, that for direct contempt committed in the face of give a six months service this season, the court, at least one of superior jurisdiction, the offender may in its discretion, be instantly apprehended and immediately imprisoned, without trial or issue, and without other proof than its actual knowledge of what occurred; and that according to an un broken chain of authorices reaching back to the earliest times, such power, although arbitrary in its nature and liable to abuse, is absolutely essential to the protection of the courts in the discharge of their functions. Without it judciial tribunals would be at the mercy of the disorderly and violent, who respect neither filed in effect accusing the court of

the laws enacted for the vindication these tribunals of hist. to or the sup- SPECIAL EXCURSION FROM SAM of public and private rights, nor the port and preservation of their respec-

In re Wooley 11 ky. 95, it was held rehearing the statement that 'Your loce, it has been sanctioned and escree," and othe insulting matter, is Lord Mayor of London's case, 3 Wilto commit in open court an act con- son, 188; opinion o. Kent. C. J., in stituting a contempt on the part of the the case of Yates, 4 Johns, 317; Johnactorney; and hat where the lan- son v. The Commonwealth 1 Bibb 598 guage spoken or written is of itself necessarily offensive, the disavowal of 2d edition it is said: an intention to commit a contempt opinion we quote:

the practice of his profession by the petition for rehearing is equivalent manner in which he conducts himself to the commission in open court of an in his intersourse with the courts. He act constituting a contempt. When may be honest and capable, and yet the language is capable of explanasee from papers enclosed that it he may so c neuct bimself as to continition, and is explained, the proceedings ually interrupt the business of the must be discontinued; but where it courts in which he practices; or he is offensive and insulting per se, the may by a systematic and continuous disavowal of an intention to commit eight million dollars shown in our course of conduct, render it impossi- a contempt may tend to excuse, but ble for the courts to preserve their cannot justify the act. From an open. self-respect and the respect of the notorious and public insult to a court public and at the same time permit for which an attorney contumaciously him to act as an officer and attorney, refused in any way to atone, he was An attorney who thus studiously and fined for contempt, and his authority systematically attempts to bring the to practice revoked." tribunals of instice into public contempt is an unfit person to hold the we have mentioned are cited in the position and exercise the privileges of note to re Cary, 10 Fed. 632, and in an officer of those tribunals. An open 9 Cyc. F. 20, where it is said that notorious and public insuit to the contempt may be committed by inhighest judicial tribunal of the State serting in pleadings, briefs, motions, for which an attorney contumaciously arguments, petitions for rehearing or refuses in any way to atone, may just other papers filed in court insulting tify the refusal of that tribunal to or contemptuous language, reflecting recognize him in the future as one of its officers."

> pondent was fined for ironically stat- contempt which no construction of ing to a justice of the peace, "I think this magistrate wiser than the Supreme court" Redfield, C. J. said: "The counsel must submit in a justice court as well as in this court, any explanation cannot be construed

and with the same formal respect, however difficult, it may be either here or there."

We do not see that the relator has ny alternative left him but the submission to what he no doubt regards as a misapprehension of the law, both condition very similar to many who have failed to convince others of the falacy

In Mahoney v. State, 72 N. E. 151. an attorney was fined \$50 for saying against the misconduct of attorneys "I want to see whether the court is litigants ought not to be punished or right or not i want to know whether prevented from maintaining in the I am going to be heard in this case in the interests of my client or no. way systems in the world. Present and making other insolent statements, enforcement of their rights, In Redman v. State 28 Ind., the judge informed counsel that a question was improper and the attorney replied: "If we cannot examine our witnesses

> lawyer was taxed with the cost of the "We must decline to assume the action for filing and reading a petition functions of a grand jury, or attempt for divorce which was unnecessarily to perform the duty of the court in gross and indelicate.

is testimony indicating that she had by losing one he does not necessarily Fred Harvey, is the best in the world 78. Cal., "A petition for rehearing 211 P. 519. stated that 'how or why the honorable commission should have so effectually and substantially ignored and disre-On such a road as this lang distance garded the uncontradicted testimony, uous, the said language be stricken we do not know. It seems that nei- out of his petition. ther the transcript nor our briefs could have fallen under the commissioners observation. A more disin- disrespectful or contemptuous, but he genious and misleading statement of also earnestly contended that the lanthe evidence could not well be made. It is substantialy untrue and unwar-be a traversity of the evidence." Held he admitted naving used was not disthat counsel drafting the petition was oath to faithfully discharge the duguilty of contempt committee in the ties of an attorney and counceler. face of the court, notwithstanding a Surely sucn a course as was taken in Co. school fund Dist. 2189 14 disavowal of disrespectful intention, this case is not in compliance was A fine of \$200 was imposed with an al-that duty. In Friedlander v. sumner ternative of serving in jail.

The Chief Justice speaking for the said: court in State v. Morrill, 16 Ark, 310

said: and contempt. But happily for the generally disposed to respect and warned, and hat he pay the costs of abide the decisions of the tribunals ordained by government as the common arbiters of their rights. But where isolated individuals, in violation of the better instincts of human nature, and disregardful of law and order, wontanly attempt to obstruct

good citizen will point them out as proper subjects for legal animadver-A court must naturally look first to an enlightened and conservative bar, governed by a high sense of professional ethics and deeply sensible, as they always are, of its necessity to aid in the maintenance of public res-

the course of public justice by disre-

garding and exciting disrespect for

pect for its opinions." In Somers v. Torrey, 5 Paige Ch. 64 28 Am. D. 411, it was held that the attorneyw ho put his hand to scandalous Premiums 2.633.875 23 and impertinent matter stood against the complainant and one not a party to the suit is liable to the censure of the court and chargeable with the cost of the proceedings to have it expunged from the record.

In State v. Grailhe, 1 La. Am. 183, the court held that it could not consistently with its duty receive a brief expressed in disrespectful language and ordered the clerk to take it from the files.

punish for contempt, Blackford, J., in of February. State v. Tipan, 1 Blackf. 166, said: This great power is entrusted a

officers can ged w. the duty of ad-ministering them." 128 U. S. 313. isted from the each. to riod to which isted from the each. It using to which the annals of juri, prudence entend; that to incorporate into a pention for and, except in a lew cases of party vio-

At page 206 of Weeks on Attorneys,

"Language may be contemptuous. may tend to excuse but cannot justify w...cher written or spoken; and if in the act. From a paragraph in that the presence of the court, notice is not essential before punishment, and "An atterney may unfit himself for scandalous and insulting matter in a

Other authorities in line with these on the integrity of the court.

By using the objectionable language stated respondent became guilty of a the words can excuse or purge. His disclaimor of an intentional disrespect to the court may palliate but cannot justify a charge which under otherwise than as reflecting on the in- on the premises owned by Theodo's teligence and motives of the court, and which could scarcely have been made for any other purpose unless to intimidate or improperty innuence our decision.

As we have seen, attorneys have on the part of the justice and of this been severely punished for using lan- OFFICE COUNTY AUDITOR And in that respect he is in a guage in many instances not so renrehensible, but in view of the disavowal in open court we have concludsoundness of their own views, or to ed not to impose a penalty so harsh became convinced themselves of their as disbarment or suspension from practice, or fine or imprisonment.

Nor do we forget that on prescribing case all petitions, pleadings, and papers essential to the preservation and

It is ordered that the offensive ition be stricken from the files, that warned, and that he pay the costs of

prohibited that particular attorney his attorneys from an investigation In Brown v. Brown IV Ind. 727, the fice and containing the statement that Slot machine license282 00 investigating the conduct of its offi-In McCormick v. Sheridan, 20 P. 24. cers, "was held to be contemptuous.

> In re Terry, 36 Fed. 419 an extreme case, for charging the court with hav-Court deemed the language contempt-

Respondent not only coatended and said that he had no intention to be guage charged against him and which ing was based, was, in my opinion, G. & S. M. Co., 61 cal. 117. The court

"If unfortunately counsel in any case shall ever so far forget himself "If it was the general habit of the as willfully to employ language manicommutty to denounce, degrade, and festly disrespectful to the judge of the Agl. Assn fund A.686 1234 of the courts, no man of self-respect ticipated—we shall deem it our duty Agl. Assn. fund Spcl. 1829 54 and just pride of reputa in would re- to treat such conduct as a contempt of main upon the bench, and such only this court, and to proceed accordingwould become the ministers of the ly: and the briefs of the case were Co. school fund Dist. 1 library law as were insensible to defamation ordered to be stricken from the files." In U. S. v. Late Corporation of good order of society, men, an espec- Church of Jesus Christ of Later Day ially the people of this country, are Sairts, language used in the petition

0-0-ANNUAL STATEMENT

Of The Continental Casualty Company Of Hammond Indiana. General office, Chicago, Iills, Capital (paid up)\$ 300,000 00 Assets 1,708,611 28 Liabilities, exclusive of capital and net surplus .. 1,157,641 70

Income

Premiums 2,129,749 63 Other sources 30,476 73 Total income, 1905 2,160,226 56 Expenditures Dividends 16,500 06 Other expenditures ... 1,113,131 64 Total expenditures, 1905 2,123,536 45 Business 1905

Risks written Losses incurred 1,009,644 S1 Nevada Business Risks written 20,025 56 Premiums received

Losses paid 8.544 pit Losses incurred 8.634 55 A. A. SMITH, Secretary. -

The Sierra Nevada mining company received \$2,722.67 from leasers oper Referring to the rights of courts to ating on Cedar Hill during the month

FRANCISCO TO CITY OF MEXICO AND RETURN. DECEMBER 16th

A select party is being organized Ly the Southern Pacific to leave San Francisco for Mexico City, December 16th, 1905. Train will contain fine vestibule sleepers and dining car, all the way on going trip. Time limit will be sixty days, enabling excursionists to make side trips from City of Mexico to points of interest. On return trip, stopovers will be allowed at points on the main lines of Mexican Central, Santa Fe or Southern Pactfic. An excursion manager will be in charge and make all arrangements. Round trip rate from San Francisco

Pullman berth rate to City of Mer-

For further information address toformation Bureau, 613 Market street.

San Francisco Cal.

- CVE Liberal Offer.

I beg to advise my patrons that the price of disc records (either Victor or Columbia), to take effect immodiately, will be as follows until fur-

Ten inch disks formerly 70 cents will be sold for 60 cents.

Seven inch records formerly 50c. now 35c. Take advantage of this of-C. W. FRIEND.

Notice to Huntetrs.

Notice is hereby given that any person found hunting without a permit Winters, will be prosecuted. A linited number of permits will be sold at \$5 for the season or 50 cents for one day.

To the Honorable, the Board of Comty Commissioners, Gentlemen:

In compliance with the law, it herewith submit my quarterly report showing receipts and disbursements of Ormsby County, during the quarter ending Dec. 30, 1905.

Quarterly Report. Ormsby County, Nevada.

Balance in County Treasury at end of last quarter 39108 77% respondent stand reprimanded and Liquor license282 00 Fees of Co. officers527 05 Fines in Justice Court125 00 Rent of Co. biuliding 302 50 S. A. apportionment school money5424 48 Deliquent taxes181 40 Cigarette license42 30

Douglas Co., road work 18 00 Keep W. Bowen45 09

45213 5936 Total Recapitulation April 1st., 06, Balance cash on

hand\$31277 17%

Co. school fund Dist. 1 10158 481/2 Co. shool fund Dist. 3277 61% Co. school fund Dist. 4212 77

State school fund Dist, 1 ... 3859 85 State school fund Dist. 2 ...216 18 State school fund Dist. 3 433 76

Co. school fund Dist.1 Spcl .7390 20 Co school fund Dist. 3 library

Total

Co. school fund Dist. 4 library

> \$31277 17% "L B. VA NETTEN County Treasurer. Disbursements

County school fund60 00 Co, school fund Dist. 1338 65 Co. school fund Dist. 2173 10 Co school fund Dist. 3 19 85 Co. school fund Dist. 4122 60 State school fund Dist 1 2611 65 State school fund Dist 3 120 00 State school fund Dist 4110 00

Co. school fund Spel building6377 50 16936 42 Recapitulation

Cash in Treasury January 1, 1996 -----39108 77% Receipts from January 1st to March 31st 19069104 81% Disbursements from January 1st

to March 31st 1906......16936 42 Balance cash in Co. Treasury

H. DIETERICH

County Auditor